

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2006

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/719,394 | 11/21/2003 | Bryan J. Roof | D/A3465 | 2565 | |
| 25453 | 7590 07/12/2006 | | EXAM | INER | |
| PATENT DOCUMENTATION CENTER | | | TRAN | TRAN, LY T | |
| XEROX CORPORATION 100 CLINTON AVE., SOUTH, XEROX SQUARE, 20TH FLOOR ROCHESTER, NY 14644 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | . 2853 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/719,394 | ROOF ET AL. | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Ly T. TRAN | 2853 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this of Period for Reply | ommunication app | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date o - If NO period for reply is specified above, the m - Failure to reply within the set or extended perio Any reply received by the Office later than thre earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 | THE MAILING DA provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 it his communication. aximum statutory period wild for reply will, by statute, e months after the mailing | TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be selected and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO | ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | | |
| Responsive to communication This action is FINAL. Since this application is in concluded in accordance with the | 2b)☐ This endition for allowan | action is non-final. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowe 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to | is/are withdraw d. ed to. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| | is/are: a) acce any objection to the o ncluding the correction | epted or b) objected to by the frawing(s) be held in abeyance. So on is required if the drawing(s) is | see 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | 4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/719,394

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 8-13 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Titterington et al. (USPN 5,372,852) in view of Kessler (USPN 4,458,399).

With respect to claims 1-3, 11-13, 8 and 18 Titterington discloses an image transfer printing apparatus comprising:

- a member having an imaging transfer surface (Fig.13: element 14);
- an application assembly for distributing a layer of release liquidonto the imaging transfer surface to produce an intermediate transfer surface (Fig.13: element 15);
- means for supporting the member in contact with the member to release and form the liquid layer (Fig.13: Element 18);
- an ink jet print head (element 11) depositing a molten phase-change ink in a phase-change ink image on the intermediate transfer surface (Abstract);

Art Unit: 2853

 means for transferring the phase-change ink from the intermediate transfer surface to a receiving medium (element 26).

With respect to claims 9 and 19, Titterington discloses the member is an image member (Fig.13: element 14).

With respect to claims 10 and 20, Titterington discloses the member is a fuser member (Fig.13: elemet 14)

However, Titterington fails to teach the applicator assembly including a porous member having a core, the core having openings defined therein, a liquid supply system connected to the core for supplying liquid to saturate the porous member to a low saturation level and the core is a tube member having an impregnable material thereabouts and the impregnable material includes foam.

Kessler teaches the applicator assembly including a porous member having a core; the core having openings defined therein (Fig.1: element 12), a liquid supply system (Fig.1, Column 3: line 18-40) connected to the core for supplying liquid to saturate the porous member to substantially low predefined saturation level to form the layer of release liquid having an uniform level (since Applicant does not define what a predefined saturation level, then as long a liquid supply system for supplying release liquid to saturate the porous member can be consider as a predefined saturation level) and the core is a tube member having an impregnable material thereabouts and the impregnable material includes foam (Fig.1: element 12).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have an applicator assembly including a porous member having

Application/Control Number: 10/719,394 Page 4

Art Unit: 2853

a core, the core having openings defined therein, a liquid supply system connected to the core for supplying liquid to saturate the porous member as taught by Kessler. The motivation of doing so is to control flow of ink.

2. Claims 4-7 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Titterington et al. (USPN 5,372,852) in view of Kessler (USPN 4,458,399) as applied to claim 1 above, further in view of Takahashi et al (USPN 4,385,558).

The combination of Titterington and Kessler fails to teach a replenishing system includes a sensing system for sensing an amount of the liquid in the porous member, a controller and sensing the mass of the porous member.

Takahashi teaches a replenishing system includes a sensing system for sensing an amount of the liquid in the porous member, a controller and sensing the mass of the porous member (Column 4: line 12-67).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as modify to have a replenishing system includes a sensing system for sensing an amount of the liquid in the porous member as taught by Takahashi. The motivation of doing so is maintain the size of ink deposit.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/719,394

Art Unit: 2853

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ly T. TRAN whose telephone number is 571-272-2155. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 571-272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Application/Control Number: 10/719,394

Art Unit: 2853

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

 LT

July 7, 2006

STEPHEN MEIER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6